



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,980	12/01/2003	Radoslav Danilak	NVID-P000817	4928
45594 7590 02/22/2008 NVIDIA C/O MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			EXAMINER LEE, CHUN KUAN	
			ART UNIT 2181	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/22/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AK

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,980	DANILAK, RADOSLAV	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun-Kuan Lee	2181	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Alford Kindred (SPE). (3) Amir Tabarrok (Reg. # 57,137).
 (2) Chun-Kuan Lee (Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.


Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Please see Continuation Sheet below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ALFORD KINDRED
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

The interview mainly discussed the Figure 1 of applicant's application, where applicant's attorney explained in detail with regard to why Figure 1 should not be considered as "Prior Art." After considering all the detailed explanations, the examiner agrees that the arguments were persuasive; therefore, the objection to the Figure 1 being "Prior Art" would be withdrawn.

Agreement was reached that Figure 1 in applicant's application is not "Prior Art."